OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Sub. : Deficiencies in QPRs.

From a perusal of the QPRs being received from various organizations, following deficiencies have been observed:

i) QPRs are not being submitted in the prescribed format.

ii) The required certificate from the CVOs that all the qualifying works have been reported, is not being given in the QPRs.

iii) Estimated Cost/Tendered Value of work is not being indicated in lacs uniformly. For some works in the same QPR, Estimated Cost/Tendered Value is being indicated in Rupees, Lacs and Crores which creates confusion.

iv) QPRs received from various units of the organization are forwarded to CTEO as it is, without scrutiny and compilation by CVOs, in the formats as used by units.

v) In case the work in progress is less than the prescribed value, only two highest value works are to be reported, whereas a number of works below the prescribed value are being reported unnecessarily.

vi) Clear name of works including locations is not being provided in a number of cases.

vii) Full designation and location of the Engineer in charge is not being indicated in the QPRs.

viii) Date of start and date of completion are not being indicated in dd-mm-yy format, rather unwanted information such as number of days allowed to the agency to start the work after issue of LOI etc. are being given.
ix) Against the requirement of indicating the physical progress of the work in % terms, the quantities of various items of work are being given, which are not required.

x) In the column ‘Tender Amount’, only ‘Item Rate’ is being mentioned which does not serve the purpose.

Therefore, all CVOs are advised to furnish QPRs with due care keeping in view the deficiencies noted above.

(V.K. Gupta)
Chief Technical Examiner

To

All Chief Vigilance Officers.