CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION  
Club Building (Near Post Office)  
Old JNU Campus, New Delhi - 110067  
Tel: +91-11-26161796

Decision No. CIC/SG/A/2010/002996/10480  
Appeal No. CIC/SG/A/2010/002996

Relevant Facts emerging from the Appeal:

Appellant : Mr. Surender Kumar Sand  
R/O H.N. 225,  
Police Colony, Ashok Vihar,  
New Delhi- 110052

Respondent : Ms. Sujata Malik  
Public Information Officer & Assistant Education Officer  
Municipal Corporation of Delhi  
Zonal Office Building,  
Narle Zone, Narela, Delhi - 110040

RTI application filed on : 28/06/2010  
PIO replied : 29/07/2010  
First appeal filed on : 29/08/2010  
First Appellate Authority order : 23/09/2010  
Second Appeal received on : 20/10/2010

Information Sought:  
The Appellant had sought various information on various points regarding details of appointment of Devendra Singh as Teacher in various schools, leaves taken from the school and reasons for leave, certified copy of leave applications, copy of attendance book, places of posting and reason for posting in the same village, official address of Residence, marital status, assets of the family, number of cases filed by or against the teacher in the court, information regarding the number of RTI applications filed by Devendra Singh, his educational qualifications, name and occupation of his wife etc. The RTI application sought information spread over 29 pages. The appellant had also asked that all the information should be provided in Hindi language.

Reply of the PIO:  
The PIO replied to most of the points as ‘not available’ and also all the information provided are in English language.

First Appeal:  
Incomplete and unsatisfactory information provided by the PIOs.

Order of the FAA:  
Held, the information provided by the PIO was sufficient.

Ground of the Second Appeal:  
Incomplete information provided by the PIO and the FAA upheld the decision of the PIO.
Relevant Facts emerging during Hearing:
The following were present:
Appellant: Mr. Surender Kumar Sand;
Respondent: Ms. Sujata Malik, Public Information Officer & Assistant Education Officer;

The Appellant has sought information spread over 29 pages and the number of queries is probably in hundreds. The PIO has tried to provide certain information but it would be impossible to provide the massive information sought by the Appellant. The Appellant states that he is willing to identify five to ten points on which he needs information. The appellant is advised to provide information on ten queries or less for which he needs information and give it to the PIO before 20 December 2010.

Decision:
The Appeal is allowed.

The PIO is directed to give the information to the queries as framed by the Appellant as stated above before 30 January 2011 to the Appellant. If there are no records for any of the information sought by the Appellant the PIO will state this.

This decision is announced in open chamber.
Notice of this decision be given free of cost to the parties.
Any information in compliance with this Order will be provided free of cost as per Section 7(6) of RTI Act.

Shailesh Gandhi
Information Commissioner
16 December 2010

(In any correspondence on this decision, mention the complete decision number.) (ST)